UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of California

United States of America)			
V.				
JUAN RAMON LOPEZ) Case No. 2:19MJ00209-AC-6			
Defendant)			
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
Part I - Eligibility for Detention				
Upon the				
Motion of the Government attorney pursuar	at to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or			
Motion of the Government or Court's own i	notion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),			
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.			
Part II - Findings of Fact and Lav	y as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)			
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C presumption that no condition or combination of cond and the community because the following conditions	itions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person			
	llowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):			
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.			
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum t	erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or			
(b) an offense for which the maximum se	•			
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§	of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or			
	nvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs			
described in subparagraphs (a) through (c	or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses e) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal			
jurisdiction had existed, or a combination (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crim				
	firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and			
(2) the defendant has previously been convicte	d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.			
	would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise			
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and				
	re for which the defendant has been convicted was pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>			
committed with the defendant was on release	penung mariora rederat, state, or local offense, and			

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the
defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant
committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years
or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4),
2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
presumption and the other factors discussed below, determined is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
the Court concludes that the determant must be detained pending that occurs the Covernment has proven
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the safety of any other person and the community.
the surety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the defendant's appearance as required.
the accordance a appearance as required
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong

Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial		
Significa	ant family or other ties outside the United States	
Lack of	legal status in the United States	
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration		
Prior fai	lure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior atte	empt(s) to evade law enforcement	
Use of a	lias(es) or false documents	
Backgro	Background information unknown or unverified	
Prior vio	plations of probation, parole, or supervised release	
OTHER REASON	NS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:	

Click here to enter text.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	December 12, 2019	an Elsi
		Allison Claire, United States Magistrate Judge